arXiv:1103.2200v1 [math.RA] 11 Mar 2011

$C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-COVER AND $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-ENVELOPE

TAHİRE ÖZEN AND EMİNE YILDIRIM

Abstract. Let R be any associative ring with unity and $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of R-modules of closed under direct sum (and summands) and with extension closed. We prove that every complex has an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-cover ($C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-envelope) if every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-cover ($\mathcal{X}$-envelope) where $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ is the class of complexes of modules in $\mathcal{X}$ such that it is closed under direct and inverse limit.

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1. *($\mathcal{X}^* -$ complex) Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of R-modules. A complex $\mathcal{C}: \ldots \longrightarrow C^{n-1} \longrightarrow C^n \longrightarrow C^{n+1} \longrightarrow \ldots$ is called an $\mathcal{X}^* -$ (cochain) complex if $C^i \in \mathcal{X}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A complex $\mathcal{C}: \ldots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \longrightarrow C_n \longrightarrow C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \ldots$ is called an $\mathcal{X}^* -$ (chain) complex if $C_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The class of all $\mathcal{X}^* -$ complexes is denoted by $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$.*

Definition 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a class of objects of $\mathcal{A}$. Then for an object $M \in \mathcal{A}$ a morphism $\phi : M \longrightarrow X$ where $X \in \mathcal{Y}$ is called an $\mathcal{Y}$-preenvelope of M, if $f : M \longrightarrow X'$ where $X' \in \mathcal{Y}$ and the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\phi"'] & X' \\
X \arrow[ur, dotted, "g"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

can be completed to a commutative diagram.
If when $X = X'$ and the only such g is automorphism of X, then $\phi : M \longrightarrow X$ is called an $\mathcal{Y}$-envelope of M. Dually it can be given an $\mathcal{Y}$-precover (cover)of M.

Definition 1.3. *(#-injective complex) ([1])A complex of injective modules is called #-injective complex.*

Date: January 14, 2013.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G35.
Key words and phrases. Complex, Cover, Envelope, Inverse and Direct limit.

In [2] and [3],respectively, it is proved that if R is a noetherian ring, then every complex of R-modules has a $\#$-injective cover and if R is a Gorenstein ring, then every bounded complex has a Gorenstein projective precover. Using the proof of Lemma 7 in [3], we give a generalization of [2] and [3]. (See [4] and [5] for the other definitions and concepts.) Throughout the paper $\mathcal{X}$ denotes a class of R-modules.

2. General Results

Lemma 2.1. *Let for all* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow X_n$ *is an* $\mathcal{X} -$ *precover of* X_n. *Then we have a monic chain map* $0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X$ *where* $P : ... \to P_2 \to P_1 \to P_0 \to ...$ *and* $X : ... \to X_2 \to X_1 \to X_0 \to ...$.

Proof. It is trivial. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let every module have a monic* $\mathcal{X} - precover$ *(or an epic* $\mathcal{X} - preenvelope$*) then every complex* X *has a monic* $C(\mathcal{X}^*) - precover$ *(or epic* $C(\mathcal{X}^*) - preenvelope$*). Moreover if every module has a monic* $\mathcal{X} - cover$ *(or epic* $\mathcal{X} - envelope$*), then every complex* X *has a monic* $C(\mathcal{X}^*) - cover$ *(or epic* $C(\mathcal{X}^*) - envelope$*).*

Proof. Let X be any complex and $0 \to P_n \to X_n$ be an $\mathcal{X}$-precover of X_n. By Lemma 2.1 there exists a complex $P : ... \to P_2 \to P_1 \to P_0 \to ...$ such that $0 \to P \to X$ is a chain map. Let $P' : ... \to P'_2 \to P'_1 \to P'_0 \to ...$ be any $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex and $f' : P' \longrightarrow X$ be a chain map. Then we have the following diagram as follow:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & P_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n+1}"] \arrow[dl, swap, "f_{n+1}"] & P_n \arrow[r, "\lambda_n"] \arrow[dl, swap, "f_n"] & P_{n-1} \arrow[dl, swap, "f_{n-1}"] \\
X_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\beta_{n+1}"] & X_n \arrow[r, "\beta_n"] & X_{n-1} & \\
 & P'_{n+1} \arrow[r, swap, "\lambda'_{n+1}"] \arrow[ul, "f'_{n+1}"] & P'_n \arrow[r, swap, "\lambda'_n"] \arrow[ul, "f'_n"] & P'_{n-1} \arrow[ul, "f'_{n-1}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where since P' is an $\mathcal{X}^* - complex$ and $f' : P' \longrightarrow X$ be a chain map, we can find $\theta_n : P'_n \longrightarrow P_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_n\theta_n = f'_n$.
Since f' is a chain map, $f'_n\lambda'_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1}f'_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now we will show that θ is a chain map. Since f_n is a chain map,
$f_n\lambda_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1}f_{n+1}$, $f_n\lambda_{n+1}\theta_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1}f_{n+1}\theta_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1}f'_{n+1} = f'_{n+1}\lambda'_{n+1} = f_n\theta_n\lambda'_{n+1}$
Since f_n is $1-1$, $\lambda_{n+1}\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n\lambda'_{n+1}$. So θ is a chain map. Let $0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X$ be a monic chain map such that $0 \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow X_n$ is

an $\mathcal{X}-cover$ of X_n. Then $0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X$ is an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)-cover$ of X. Because we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & P_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n+1}"] \arrow[dl, "f_{n+1}"'] & P_n \arrow[r, "\lambda_n"] \arrow[dl, "f_n"'] & P_{n-1} \arrow[dl, "f_{n-1}"'] \\
X_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\beta_{n+1}"] & X_n \arrow[r, "\beta_n"] & X_{n-1} & \\
 & P_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n+1}"'] \arrow[ul, "f_{n+1}"] & P_n \arrow[r, "\lambda_n"'] \arrow[ul, "f_n"] & P_{n-1} \arrow[ul, "f_{n-1}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We can find that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, θ_n is an automorphism. By the proof above $\theta : P \to P$ is a chain map such that $f\theta = f$. Since for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, θ_n is a automorphism, θ is an automorphism with $f\theta = f$. So $0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X$ is a cover of X. Dually we can find a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)-preenvelope(envelope)$; $X \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$.

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be closed under finite sum. If every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-precover, then every bounded complex has an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover.*

Proof. Let $... \longrightarrow E'_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} E'_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} X_0 \longrightarrow 0$ be a minimal $\mathcal{X}$-precover resolvent of X_0. Let A be an $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex and $\beta \in Hom(A, X(0))$ where $X(0) : ... \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ....$ We have the diagram as follow:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & A_1 \arrow[r, "a_1"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_1"] & A_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\lambda_0"] & A_{-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & E'_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & E'_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi_0"] & 0 & \\
 & 0 \arrow[r] & X_0 \arrow[r] & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\phi_0\lambda_0 = \beta_0$ and $\lambda_0 a_1 \in Hom(A_1, Ker\phi_0)$. Then there exists $\lambda_1 \in Hom(A_1, E'_1)$, since $E'_1 \longrightarrow Ker\phi_0$ is a precover and similarly we can define $\lambda_n \in Hom(A_n, E'_n)$ such that $f_n\lambda_n = \lambda_{n-1}a_n$. Thus, $E' : ... \longrightarrow E'_2 \longrightarrow E'_1 \longrightarrow E'_0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ...$ is a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover of $X(0)$. Let $X(n) : ...0 \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\ell_n} ... \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_1} X_0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ....$ We will use an induction on n to prove that $X(n)$ has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover. We proved that $X(0)$ has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover above. Suppose that $D(n) : ... \longrightarrow D_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} D_n \longrightarrow .... \longrightarrow D_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} D_0 \longrightarrow 0$ be a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover of $X(n)$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+1}"] & D_n \arrow[r, "d_n"] \arrow[d, "\phi_n"] & \cdots \arrow[r] & D_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi_1"] & D_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi_0"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & X_n \arrow[r, "\ell_n"] & \cdots \arrow[r] & X_1 \arrow[r, "\ell_n"] & X_0 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $E_\bullet = ... \rightarrow E_2 \overset{t_2}{\rightarrow} E_1 \overset{t_1}{\rightarrow} E_0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow ...$ is a precover of $\underline{X_{n+1}}$ where $t_0 : E_0 \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ is a precover of X_{n+1}. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_\bullet \arrow[r, "\nu"] \arrow[d] & D(n) \arrow[d, "\phi"] \\
\underline{X_{n+1}} \arrow[r] & X(n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\ell_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \longrightarrow X_n$. Since $\phi : D(n) \longrightarrow X(n)$ is a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover of X(n) and $E_\bullet \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$, we have a morphism $\nu : E_\bullet \longrightarrow D(n)$

where

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_\bullet = ... \arrow[r] & E_2 \arrow[r, "t_2"] \arrow[d, "\nu_2"] & E_1 \arrow[r, "t_1"] \arrow[d, "\nu_1"] & E_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\nu_0"] & \cdots \\
D(n) = ... \arrow[r] & D_{n+2} \arrow[r, "d_{n+2}"] & D_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+1}"] & D_n \arrow[r, "d_n"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

...(1)
where $\phi_n \nu_0 = \ell_{n+1} t_0$...(*).
Let C be the mapping cone of $\nu : E_\bullet \longrightarrow D(n)$. So we have that $C = ... \longrightarrow D_{n+3} \oplus E_2 \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n+3}} D_{n+2} \oplus E_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n+2}} D_{n+1} \oplus E_0 \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n+1}} D(n) \xrightarrow{d_n} D_{n-1} \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow D_1 \longrightarrow 0$ where

$$\lambda_{n+1}(x, y) = d_{n+1}(x) + \nu_0(y)$$

and for $k \geq 2$

$$\lambda_{n+k}(x, y) = (d_{n+k}(x) + \nu_{k-1}(y), -t_{k-1}(y)).$$

We show that $C \longrightarrow X(n+1)$ is an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover of $X(n+1)$. Let $A \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ where

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A = ... \arrow[r] & A_{n+2} \arrow[r, "a_{n+2}"] \arrow[d] & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "a_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\beta_{n+1}"] & A_n \arrow[r, "a_n"] \arrow[d, "\beta_n"] & \cdots \\
X(n+1) = ... \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & X_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\ell_{n+1}"] & X_n \arrow[r, "\ell_n"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\dots A_{n+2} \arrow[r, "a_{n+2}"] \arrow[d, "\theta_{n+2}"] & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "a_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\theta_{n+1}"] & A_n \arrow[r, "a_n"] \arrow[d, "\theta_n"] & \cdots \\
\dots D_{n+2} \oplus E_1 \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n+2}"] & D_{n+1} \oplus E_0 \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "(0{,}t_0)"] & D_n \arrow[r, "d_n"] \arrow[d, "\phi_n"] & \cdots \\
\dots 0 \arrow[r] & X_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\ell_{n+1}"] & X_n \arrow[r, "\ell_n"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Firstly, we will find θ_{n+1} such that $\lambda_{n+1}\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n a_{n+1}$ and $(0, t_0)\theta_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1}$. We know that $\phi_n\lambda_{n+1} = \ell_{n+1}(0, t_0)$ by (*). Since $\beta_{n+1} : A_{n+1} \longrightarrow X_{n+1}$ and $A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t_0 : E_0 \longrightarrow X_{n+1}$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-precover of X_{n+1}, there exists $r : A_{n+1} \longrightarrow E_0$ such that $\beta_{n+1} = t_0 r$.
Claim: Let $L^k = Ker(D(k) \to X(k))$ where $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ and then

$$L^0 : ... \longrightarrow E'_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} E'_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Ker\phi_0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ...,$$

$L^n : ... \longrightarrow D_{n+2} \xrightarrow{d_{n+2}} D_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} Ker\phi_n \xrightarrow{d_n} Ker\phi_{n-1} \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow Ker\phi_1 \longrightarrow Ker\phi_0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ...$ and also $L^{n+1} : ... \longrightarrow D_{n+2} \oplus E_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n+2}} D_{n+1} \oplus Kert_0 \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n+1}} Ker\phi_n \longrightarrow Ker\phi_{n-1} \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow Ker\phi_0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ...$ where $E_\bullet = ... \longrightarrow E_2 \xrightarrow{t_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{t_1} E_0 \longrightarrow 0$ (where E_0 is in the nth place) is an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover of $\underline{X_{n+1}}$. We claim that for every $S \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$, $Hom(S, L^k)$ is exact where $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n, n + 1\}$.
Since $L^0 : ... \longrightarrow E'_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} E'_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Ker\phi_0 \longrightarrow 0$, for every $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex S, $... \longrightarrow Hom(S, E'_2) \longrightarrow Hom(S, E'_1) \longrightarrow Hom(S, Ker\phi_0) \longrightarrow 0$ is exact. So, $Hom(S, L^0)$ is exact. It is enough to prove that if $Hom(S, L^n)$ is exact, then $Hom(S, L^{n+1})$ is exact. We have the following diagram

between L^n and L^{n+1}:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
...D_{n+2} \arrow[r, "d_{n+2}"] \arrow[d, "(1{,}0)"] & D_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "(1{,}0)"] & Ker\phi_n \arrow[r, "d_n"] \arrow[d] & \cdots \\
...D_{n+2} \oplus E_1 \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n+2}"] & D_{n+1} \oplus Kert_0 \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n+1}"] & Ker\phi_n \arrow[r, "d_n"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore we have a split exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow L^n \longrightarrow L^{n+1} \longrightarrow \frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n} \longrightarrow 0$ where $\frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n} \cong .... \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow Kert_0 \longrightarrow 0$ which is $Hom(\mathcal{X}^* - complex, -)$ exact since $... \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow X_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0$ is a minimal $\mathcal{X}$-resolvent. Since $Hom(S, L^n)$ and $Hom(S, \frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n})$ are exact, so $Hom(S, L^{n+1})$ is exact.

Since $Hom(A_{n+1}, L^n)$ is exact where $A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$, $Hom(A_{n+1}, D_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{d^*_{n+1}} Hom(A_{n+1}, Ker\phi_n) \xrightarrow{d^*_n} Hom(A_{n+1}, Ker\phi_{n-1})$ is exact, that is $Kerd^*_n = Imd^*_{n+1}$. Thus $\phi_n(\theta_n a_{n+1} - \nu_0 r) = \phi_n \theta_n a_{n+1} - \phi_n \nu_0 r = \beta_n a_{n+1} - \phi_n \lambda_{n+1}(0, r) = \ell_{n+1}\beta_{n+1} - \ell_{n+1}(0, t_0)(0, r) = \ell_{n+1}\beta_{n+1} - \ell_{n+1}\beta_{n+1} = 0$. So, $\theta_n a_{n+1} - \nu_0 r \in Ker\phi_n$ and also $d^*_n(\theta_n a_{n+1} - \nu_0 r) = d_n(\theta_n a_{n+1} - \nu_0 r) = d_n \theta_n a_{n+1} - d_n \nu_0 r = \theta_{n-1} a_n a_{n+1} - 0 = 0$.
Therefore $\theta_n a_{n+1} - \nu_0 r \in Imd^*_{n+1}$; that is; there exists $s \in Hom(A_{n+1}, D_{n+1})$ such that

$$\theta_n a_{n+1} - \nu_0 r = d_{n+1} s ...(**)$$

Let

$$\theta_{n+1} : A_{n+1} \longrightarrow D_{n+1} \oplus E_0$$

$$a \longrightarrow (s(a), r(a))$$

Then $(0, t_0)\theta_{n+1}(a) = (0, t_0)(s(a), r(a))$ where $a \in A_{n+1}$

$$= t_0 r(a)$$

$$= \beta_{n+1}(a)$$

Therefore, $(0, t_0)\theta_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1}$. Moreover, $\lambda_{n+1}\theta_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1}(s, r) = d_{n+1}s + \nu_0 r = \theta_n a_{n+1}$(by (**) and diagram (1)).
Secondly, let's find θ_{n+2}. We know that $(0, t_0)\lambda_{n+2} = 0$. Since $(0, t_0)(\theta_{n+1} a_{n+2}) = 0$ and $t_1 : E_1 \longrightarrow Kert_0$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-precover, there exists $r_1 : A_{n+2} \longrightarrow E_1$ such that $t_1 r_1 = r a_{n+2}$. Since $Hom(A_{n+2}, L^n)$ is exact,

$$Hom(A_{n+2}, D_{n+2}) \xrightarrow{d^*_{n+2}} Hom(A_{n+2}, D_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{d^*_{n+1}} Hom(A_{n+2}, Ker\phi_n)$$

is exact. Since $sa_{n+2} + \nu_1 r_1 \in Hom(A_{n+2}, D_{n+1})$ and $d^*_{n+1}(sa_{n+2} + \nu_1 r_1) = d_{n+1}(sa_{n+2} + d_{n+1}\nu_1 r_1) = (\theta_n a_{n+1} - \lambda_{n+1}(0,r))a_{n+2} + d_{n+1}\nu_1 r_1$ by (**) $= -\lambda_{n+1}(0, ra_{n+2}) + d_{n+1}\nu_1 r_1 - \nu_0 r a_{n+2} + d_{n+1}\nu_1 r_1 - \nu_0 t_1 r_1 + d_{n+1}\nu_1 r_1 = 0$. So $sa_{n+2} + \nu_1 r_1 \in Im(d_{n+2})^*$. Thus there exists $s_1 : A_{n+2} \longrightarrow D_{n+2}$ such that $sa_{n+2} + \nu_1 r_1 = d_{n+2}s_1$. Let $\theta_{n+2} : A_{n+2} \longrightarrow D_{n+2} \oplus E_1$; $a \longrightarrow (s_1(a), -r_1(a))$. Then $\lambda_{n+2}\theta_{n+2} = \lambda_{n+2}(s_1, r_1) = (d_{n+2}s_1 - \nu_1 r_1, t_1 r_1) = (sa_{n+2}, ra_{n+2}) = \theta_{n+1}a_{n+2}$.

Let's find θ_{n+3}. Since $Hom(A_{n+3}, L^{n+1})$ is exact, $Hom(A_{n+3}, D_{n+3} \oplus E_2) \overset{\lambda^*_{n+3}}{\to} Hom(A_{n+3}, D_{n+2} \oplus E_1) \overset{\lambda^*_{n+2}}{\to} Hom(A_{n+3}, D_{n+1} \oplus Ker t_0)$ is exact. Since $\theta_{n+2}a_{n+3} \in Hom(A_{n+3}, D_{n+2} \oplus E_1)$ and $\lambda^*_{n+2}(\theta_{n+2}a_{n+3}) = \lambda_{n+2}(\theta_{n+2}a_{n+3}) = \theta_{n+1}(\theta_{n+2}a_{n+3}) = 0$, $\theta_{n+2}a_{n+3} \in Ker\lambda^*_{n+2} = Im\lambda^*_{n+3}$, therefore there exists $\theta_{n+3} \in Hom(A_{n+3}, D_{n+3} \oplus E_2)$.

Let's find θ_{n+k} for $k > 3$. Since $Hom(A_{n+k}, L^{n+1})$ is exact, $Hom(A_{n+k}, D_{n+k} \oplus E_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\lambda^*_{n+k}} Hom(A_{n+k}, D_{n+k-1} \oplus E_{k-2}) \xrightarrow{\lambda^*_{n+k-1}} Hom(A_{n+k}, D_{n+k-2} \oplus E_{k-3})$ is exact.
Since $\theta_{n+k-1}a_{n+k} \in Hom(A_{n+k}, D_{n+k-1} \oplus E_{k-2})$ and $\lambda^*_{n+k-1}(\theta_{n+k-1}a_{n+k}) = \lambda_{n+k-1}\theta_{n+k-1}a_{n+k} = \theta_{n+k-2}a_{n+k-1}a_{n+k} = 0$, $\theta_{n+k-1}a_{n+k} \in Ker\lambda^*_{n+k-1} = Im\lambda^*_{n+k}$, therefore there exists $\theta_{n+k} \in Hom(A_{n+k}, D_{n+k} \oplus E_{k-1})$ such that $\lambda_{n+k}\theta_{n+k} = \theta_{n+k-1}a_{n+k}$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be closed under finite sum. If every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-preenvelope, then every bounded complex has an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-preenvelope.*

Proof. Let $0 \longrightarrow X^0 \xrightarrow{\phi^0} E^1_1 \xrightarrow{f^1} E^2_1 \longrightarrow ...$ be a minimal $\mathcal{X}$-preenvelope resolvent of X^0. Let A be an $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex and $\beta \in Hom(X(0), A)$ where $X(0) : ... \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow X^0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ....$

Then we have the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X^0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi^0"] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_1^1 \arrow[r, "f^1"] \arrow[d, "\lambda^0"] & E_1^2 \arrow[r, "f^2"] \arrow[d, "\lambda^1"] & E_1^3 \arrow[r, "f^3"] \arrow[d, "\lambda^2"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & A^{-1} \arrow[r, "a^{-1}"] & A_0 \arrow[r, "a^0"] & A^1 \arrow[r, "a^1"] & A^2 \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\lambda^0\phi^0 = \beta^0$ and since $\frac{E_1^1}{Im\phi^0} \longrightarrow A^1$ with $x + Imf^0 \mapsto a^0\lambda^0(x)$, there exists $\lambda^1 : E_1^2 \longrightarrow A^1$ such that $\lambda^1 f^1 = a^0\lambda^0$. Similarly we can define $\lambda^n \in Hom(E_1^{n+1}, A^n)$ such that $\lambda^n f^n = a^{n-1}\lambda^{n-1}$.

Let $X(n) : ...0 \longrightarrow X^0 \xrightarrow{\ell^0} X^1 \xrightarrow{\ell^1} X^2 \xrightarrow{\ell^2} ... \longrightarrow X^n \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow ....$ We will use an induction on n to prove that $X(n)$ has an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-preenvelope. At the beginning we proved that $X(0)$ has an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-preenvelope. Suppose that $D(n) : ... \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow D^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} D^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} .... \longrightarrow D^n \xrightarrow{d^n} D^{n+1} \longrightarrow ...$ be an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-preenvelope of $X(n)$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X(n) : ...0 \arrow[r] & X^0 \arrow[r, "\ell^0"] \arrow[d, "\phi^0"] & X^1... \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi^1"] & X^n \arrow[r, "\ell^n"] \arrow[d, "\phi^n"] & 0... \\
D(n) : ...0 \arrow[r] & D^0 \arrow[r, "d^0"] & D^1... \arrow[r] & D^n \arrow[r, "d^n"] & D^{n+1}...
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $E^{\bullet} = ... \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow E^1 \xrightarrow{t^1} E^2 \xrightarrow{t^2} E^3 \xrightarrow{t^3} ...$ be an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-preenvelope of $\underline{X^{n+1}}$(where X^{n+1} and E^1 is in nth place) where $t^0 : X^{n+1} \longrightarrow E^1$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-preenvelope of X^{n+1}. Thus we have the morphism

ν making the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X(n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \underline{X^{n+1}} \arrow[d] \\
D(n) \arrow[r, dotted, "\nu"] & E^{\bullet}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $t^0\ell^n = \nu^0\phi^n...(*)$. Since $X(n) \longrightarrow D(n)$ is an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-preenvelope, we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D(n) = ... \arrow[r] & D^{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^{n-1}"] & D^n \arrow[r, "d^n"] \arrow[d, "\nu^0"] & D^{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\nu^1"] & \cdots \\
E^{\bullet} = ... \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & E^1 \arrow[r, "t^1"] & E^2 \arrow[r, "t^2"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

...(1) Let C be the mapping cone of $\nu : D(n) \longrightarrow E^{\bullet}$. So $C = ... \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow D^0 \longrightarrow D^1 \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow D^n \xrightarrow{\lambda^n} D^{n+1} \oplus E^1 \xrightarrow{\lambda^{n+1}} D^{n+2} \oplus E^2 \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n+2}}$ ... where

$$\lambda^n(x) = (d^n(x), \nu^0(x))$$

and for $k \geq 1$

$$\lambda^{n+k}(x,y) = (-d^{n+k}(x), \nu^k(x) + t^k(y)).$$

We show that $X(n+1) \to C$ is an $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-preenvelope of $X(n+1)$.
Claim: Let $L^k = coker(X(k) \to D(k))$ where $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ and then

$$L^0 : ... \to coker(\phi^0) \to E_1^1 \xrightarrow{f^1} E_1^2 \to ...,$$

$$L^{n-1} : ... \longrightarrow coker\phi^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_1^{n-1}} D^n \xrightarrow{d^n} D^{n+1} \longrightarrow ...$$

$$L^n : ... \longrightarrow coker\phi^n \xrightarrow{d_1^n} D^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d^{n+1}} D^{n+2} \longrightarrow ...$$

$$L^{n+1} : ... \to coker\phi^n \to D^{n+1} \oplus cokert^0 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1^{n+1}} D^{n+2} \oplus E^2 \xrightarrow{\lambda^{n+2}} D^{n+3} \oplus E^3 ...$$

where $\lambda_1^{n+1}(x,y) = \lambda^{n+1}(x,a)$ and $y = a + Imt^0$ for $a \in E^1$. We claim that for every $S \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$, $Hom(L^k, S)$ is exact where $k \in$

$\{0, 1, ..., n, n+1\}$. It is understood easily that $Hom(L^0, S)$ is exact. It is enough to prove that if $Hom(L^n, S)$ is exact, then $Hom(L^{n+1}, S)$ is exact. We have the following diagram between L^n and L^{n+1} :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
...coker\phi^n \arrow[r, "d_1^n"] \arrow[d] & D^{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^{n+1}"] \arrow[d] & D^{n+2} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D^{n+3}... \arrow[d] \\
...coker\phi^n \arrow[r] & D^{n+1} \oplus cokert^0 \arrow[r, "\lambda_1^{n+1}"] & D^{n+2} \oplus E^2 \arrow[r, "\lambda^{n+2}"] & D^{n+3} \oplus E^3...
\end{tikzcd}$$

And so, $\frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n}$: $... \to 0 \to 0 \to ... \to 0 \to cokert^0 \to E^2 \to ...$ is a resolution of $cokert^0$, and hence $Hom(\frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n}, S)$ is exact where S is an $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex. Since $0 \to L^n \to L^{n+1} \to \frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n} \to 0$ is split exact, we have an exact complex $0 \to Hom(\frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n}, S) \to Hom(L^{n+1}, S) \to Hom(L^n, S) \to 0$. Therefore $Hom(\frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n}, S)$ and $Hom(L^n, S)$ are exact , so $Hom(L^{n+1}, S)$ is exact.

Let $A \in C(\mathcal{X}^*)$. Then we have the diagram as follow:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
...X^0 \arrow[r, "\ell^0"] \arrow[d, "\phi^0"] & ...X^n \arrow[r, "\ell^n"] \arrow[d, "\phi^n"] & X^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\ell^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "(0{,}t^0)"] & 0... \arrow[d] \\
...D^0 \arrow[r, "d^0"] \arrow[d, "\theta^0"] & ...D^n \arrow[r, "d^n"] \arrow[d, "\theta^n"] & D^{n+1} \oplus E^1 \arrow[r, "\lambda^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\theta^{n+1}"] & D^{n+2} \oplus E^2... \arrow[d, "\theta^{n+2}"] \\
...A^{-1} \arrow[r, "a^{-1}"] & A^0 \arrow[r, "a^0"] & ...A^n \arrow[r, "a^n"] & A^{n+1}...
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the $\beta^i : X^i \to A^i$ are maps such that $\theta^0\phi^0 = \beta^0$, ..., $\theta^n\phi^n = \beta^n$. First, we will find θ^{n+1} such that $\theta^{n+1}\lambda^n = a^n\theta^n$ and $\theta^{n+1}(0, t^0) = \beta^{n+1}$. Moreover $\lambda^n\phi^n = (0, t^0)\ell^n$ by (*). Since $\beta^{n+1} : X^{n+1} \longrightarrow A^{n+1}$ and $A^{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t^0 : X^{n+1} \longrightarrow E^1$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-preenvelope, there exists $r : E^1 \longrightarrow A^{n+1}$ such that $\beta^{n+1} = rt^0$.

Since $Hom(L^{n-1}, A^{n+1})$ is exact where $A^{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$, $... \to Hom(D^{n+1}, A^{n+1}) \overset{(d^{n+1})^*}{\to} Hom(D^n, A^{n+1}) \overset{({d_1}^{n-1})^*}{\to} Hom(coker\phi^{n-1}, A^{n+1}) \to ...$ is exact, that is, $Ker({d_1}^{n-1})^* = Im(d^n)^*$.

Claim: $a^n\theta^n - r\nu^0 \in Ker({d_1}^{n-1})^*$.

Since ${d_1}^{n-1})^*(a^n\theta^n - r\nu_0) = (a^n\theta^n - r\nu^0){d_1}^{n-1} = a^n\theta^n {d_1}^{n-1} - r\nu_0 {d_1}^{n-1} = a^n\theta^n {d_1}^{n-1}$ by diagram (1). Since $\theta^n d_1^{n-1}(x + Im\phi^{n-1}) = a^{n-1}\theta^{n-1}(x)$ where $x \in D^{n-1}$, $a^n\theta^n {d_1}^{n-1} = 0$. So $a^n\theta^n - r\nu^0 \in Ker({d_1}^{n-1})^* = Im(d^n)^*$ and hence there exists $s \in Hom(D^{n+1}, A^{n+1})$ such that $a^n\theta^n - r\nu^0 = sd^n$ ...(**)

Let $\theta^{n+1} : D^{n+1} \oplus E^1 \to A^{n+1}$ with $(a,b) \mapsto s(a) + r(b)$. Then $\theta^{n+1}(0,t^0)(x) = \theta^{n+1}(0,t^0(x)) = rt^0(x) = \beta^{n+1}(x)$ where $x \in X^{n+1}$. Therefore $\theta^{n+1}(0,t^0) = \beta^{n+1}$.

Moreover, $\theta^{n+1}\lambda^n(x) = \theta^{n+1}(\lambda^n(x)) = \theta^{n+1}(d^n(x), \nu^0(x)) = a^n\theta^n(x) - r\nu^0(x) + r\nu^0(x)$ by (**)

$$= a^n\theta^n(x).$$

Therefore $\theta^{n+1}\lambda^n = a^n\theta^n$.

Secondly, let's find θ^{n+2}. We know that $\lambda^{n+1}(0,t^0) = 0$. Since $\alpha : coker t^0 \longrightarrow A^{n+2}$ with $x + Imt^0 \mapsto -a^{n+1}r(x)$ is a map and $t_1^1 : cokert^0 \longrightarrow E^2$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-preenvelope where $t_1^1\pi = t^1$, there exists $r^1 : E^2 \longrightarrow A^{n+2}$ such that $r^1t^1 = \alpha$. Since $Hom(L^n, A^{n+2})$ is exact,

$$Hom(D^{n+2}, A^{n+2}) \overset{d^{n+1*}}{\to} Hom(D^{n+1}, A^{n+2}) \overset{d^{n*}}{\to} Hom(D^n, A^{n+2})$$

is exact, and so $Im((d^{n+1})^*) = Ker(d^n)^*$.

Claim: $-a^{n+1}s + r^1\nu^1 \in Ker(d^n)^*$.

$(d^n)^*(-a^{n+1}s + r^1\nu^1) = (-a^{n+1}s + r^1\nu^1)d^n = -a^{n+1}sd^n + r^1\nu^1d^n = -a^{n+1}(a^n\theta^n - r\nu^0) + r^1\nu^1d^n$ by(**)
$= a^{n+1}r\nu^0 + r^1\nu^1d^n = a^{n+1}r\nu^0 + r^1t^1\nu^0$by diagram (1)
$= a^{n+1}r\nu^0 + r^1t_1^1\pi\nu^0 = a^{n+1}r\nu^0 + \alpha\pi\nu^0 = a^{n+1}r\nu^0 - a^{n+1}r\nu^0 = 0$. Therefore $-a^{n+1}s + r^1\nu^1 \in Im(d^{n+1})^*$. So there exists $s^1 \in Hom(D^{n+2}, A^{n+2})$ such that $-a^{n+1}s + r^1\nu^1 = s^1d^{n+1}$.

Let $\theta^{n+2} : D^{n+2} \oplus E^2 \longrightarrow A^{n+2}$ with $(x,y) \mapsto s^1(x) + r^1(y)$

Then $\theta^{n+2}\lambda^{n+1}(x,y) = \theta^{n+2}(-d^{n+1}(x), \nu^1(x) + t^1(y)) = s^1(-d^{n+1}(x)) + r^1(\nu^1(x) + t^1(y)) = -s^1d^{n+1}(x) + r^1\nu^1(x) + r^1t^1(y) = a^{n+1}s(x) - r^1\nu^1(x) + r^1\nu^1(x) + r^1t^1(y) = a^{n+1}s(x) + r^1t^1(y) = a^{n+1}\theta^{n+1}(x,y)$.

Let's find θ^{n+3}. Since $Hom(L^{n+1}, A^{n+3})$ is exact, $Hom(D^{n+3} \oplus E^3, A^{n+3}) \overset{(\lambda^{n+2})^*}{\to} Hom(D^{n+2} \oplus E^2, A^{n+3}) \overset{(\lambda_1^{n+1})^*}{\to} Hom(D^{n+1} \oplus coker(t^0), A^{n+3})$ is exact. Since $a^{n+2}\theta^{n+2} \in Hom(D^{n+2} \oplus E^2, A^{n+3})$ and

$$(\lambda_1^{n+1})^*(a^{n+2}\theta^{n+2})(x,y) = a^{n+2}\theta^{n+2}\lambda^{n+1}(x,a) = a^{n+2}a^{n+1}\theta^{n+1}(x,a) = 0$$

where $y = a + Im(t^0)$ and $a \in E^1$, we have that

$$a^{n+2}\theta^{n+2} \in Im(\lambda^{n+2})^*$$

, therefore there exists $\theta^{n+3} \in Hom(D^{n+3} \oplus E^3, A^{n+3})$ such that

$$a^{n+2}\theta^{n+2} = \theta^{n+3}\lambda^{n+2}.$$

Let's find θ^{n+k} for $k > 3$. Since $Hom(L^{n+1}, A^{n+k})$ is exact, $Hom(D^{n+k}\oplus E^k, A^{n+k}) \overset{(\lambda^{n+k-1})^*}{\to} Hom(D^{n+k-1}\oplus E^{k-1}, A^{n+k}) \overset{(\lambda^{n+k-2})^*}{\to} Hom(D^{n+k-2}\oplus E^{k-2}, A^{n+k})$ is exact.
Since $a^{n+k-1}\theta^{n+k-1} \in Hom(D^{n+k-1} \oplus E^{k-1}, A^{n+k})$ and

$(\lambda^{n+k-2})^*(a^{n+k-1}\theta^{n+k-1}) = a^{n+k-1}\theta^{n+k-1}\lambda^{n+k-2} = a^{n+k-1}a^{n+k-2}\theta^{n+k-2}$

$= 0,\ a^{n+k-1}\theta^{n+k-1} \in Im(\lambda^{n+k-1})^*$,and so there exists $\theta^{n+k} \in Hom(D^{n+k}\oplus E^k, A^{n+k})$ such that $a^{n+k-1}\theta^{n+k-1} = \theta^{n+k}\lambda^{n+k-1}$. ☐

Again using the tecnique of Alina Iacob we can give the following result.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of modules with closed under direct sum. If every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-precover, then every bounded above complex has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover.*

Proof. Let $X(n) : ... \to 0 \to X_n \to X_{n-1} \to ... \to X_1 \to X_0 \to 0$ and $X = \varinjlim X(n)$. (Then $X = ... \to X_2 \to X_1 \to X_0 \to 0$).
By Lemma 2.3, we know that $X(n)$ has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover such that $0 \to L^n \to D(n) \to X(n)$ where L^n is the kernel of $(D(n) \to X(n))$ and $Hom(S, L^n)$ is exact for any $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex S.
Let $L = \varinjlim L^n$ and so $L_k = L^k_k$ for any $k \geq 0$.
Using the proof of Lemma 2.3 we can say that $Hom(S, L_{k+1}) \to Hom(S, L_k) \to Hom(S, L_{k-1})$ is exact, that is, $Hom(S, L)$ is exact. We show that $\varinjlim D(n) \to X$ is a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover.
Let $D = \varinjlim D(n)$ and $\beta \in Hom(A, X)$ where A is an $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex. Again using the proof of Lemma 2.3 we can find $\phi \in Hom(D, X)$. Then $L^0 = Ker\phi_0$, $L^1 = Ker\phi_1$, $L^2 = Ker\phi_2$, ...
We have the diagram;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & A_2 \arrow[r, "a_2"] \arrow[d] & A_1 \arrow[r, "a_1"] \arrow[d] & A_0 \arrow[r, "a_0"] \arrow[d] & A_{-1} \arrow[r] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & D_2 \arrow[r, "d_2"] \arrow[d, "\phi_2"'] & D_1 \arrow[r, "d_1"] \arrow[d, "\phi_1"'] & D_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi_0"'] & 0 & \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & X_2 \arrow[r, "\ell_2"] & X_1 \arrow[r, "\ell_1"] & X_0 \arrow[r] & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\phi_0\alpha_0 = \beta_0$, $\phi_1 r_1 = \beta_1$, ..., $\phi_i r_i = \beta_i$, ...
Then $\phi_0(\alpha_0 a_1 - d_1 r_1) = \phi_0\alpha_0 a_1 - \phi_0 d_1 r_1) = \beta_0 a_1 - \ell_1\phi_1 r_1 = \beta_0 a_1 - \ell_1\beta_1 = 0$.
Thus $\alpha_0 a_1 - d_1 r_1 \in Hom(A_1, Ker\phi_0 = L_0)$. Since $Hom(A_1, L)$ is exact, there is $s_1 \in Hom(A_1, L_1)$ such that $\alpha_0 a_1 - d_1 r_1 = d_1 s_1$. Let $\alpha_1 = s_1 + r_1$. Then $\phi_1\alpha_1 = \phi_1(s_1 + r_1) = \phi_1 s_1 + \phi_1 r_1 = 0$
If we continue in this way, then we can find $\alpha_i \in Hom(A_i, D_i)$ such that $d_i\alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1}a_i$. Thus $D \to X$ is a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover. □

Using the modification to complexes of Corollary 5.2.7 in [5] we can give the following result.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class with closed under direct sum and $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ be closed under direct limit. If every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-precover, then every bounded complex has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-cover.*

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be closed under direct sum and extension closed . Let $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ be closed under inverse and direct limit. If every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-cover, then every complex has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-cover.*

Proof. First we will show that every complex has $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover if $\mathcal{X}$ is a class of modules with extension closed and closed under direct sum and $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ is closed under inverse limit. And then modifying Corollary 5.2.7 in [5] we can find a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-cover if $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ is closed under direct limit.
Let $X : ... \to X_2 \to X_1 \to X_0 \to X_{-1} \to ...$ and let $Y^n : ... \to X_1 \to X_0 \to X_{-1} \to ... \to X_{-n} \to 0 \to ....$ So $\varprojlim Y^n = X$.
We see that Y^n has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover $D^n : ... \to D_1 \to D_0 \to D_{-1} \to ... \to D_{-n} \to 0 \to ...$ such that

$$D_{-n} = E^0_{-n},$$

$$D_{-n+1} = E^1_{-n} \oplus E^0_{-n+1},$$

$$D_{-n+2} = E^2_{-n} \oplus E^1_{-n+1} \oplus E^0_{-n+2},$$

...
where $... \to E^2_k \to E^1_k \to E^0_k \xrightarrow{\phi_k} X_k \to 0$ is a minimal $\mathcal{X}$-precover resolvent of X_k for $k \geq -n$.
Then $Y^{n+1} = ... \to X_0 \to X_1 \to ... \to X_{-n} \to X_{-n-1} \to 0 \to ...$ and by the proof of Proposition 2.5 the $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover of Y^{n+1}, $D^{n+1} = ... \to E^1_{-n-1} \oplus D_{-n} \to E^0_{-n-1} \to 0 \to ....$
Let $T^n = Ker(\phi : D^n \to Y^n)$ and then again by the proof of Proposition 2.5, $T^{n+1} = ...E^2_{-n-1} \oplus E^1_{-n} \oplus Ker\phi_{-n+1} \to E^1_{-n-1} \oplus Ker\phi_{-n} \to Ker\phi_{-n-1} \to 0$,
$T^n = ... \to E^1_{-n} \oplus Ker\phi_{-n+1} \to Ker\phi_{-n} \to 0.$

Then $Ker(T^{n+1} \to T^n) = ... \to E^2_{-n-1} \to E^1_{-n-1} \to Ker\phi_{-n-1} \to 0$ is the kernel of a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-cover of $\underline{Y_{-n-1}}$. By Wakamatsu's Lemma $\overline{Hom(S, T^{n+1}) \to Hom(S, T^n) \to 0}$ is epic for any $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex S. Since we have the exact sequence $0 \to T^n \to D^n \to Y^n$ and D^n is a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-cover of Y^n, we have the exact sequence $0 \to Hom(S, T^n) \to Hom(S, D^n) \to Hom(S, Y^n) \to 0$ for any $\mathcal{X}^*$-complex S.
By the modification of Theorem 1.5.13 and 1.5.14 in [5] we have the following exact sequence; $0 \to Hom(S, \varprojlim T^n) \to Hom(S, \varprojlim D^n) \to Hom(S, \varprojlim Y^n) \to 0$. So, $\varprojlim D^n$ is a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-precover of X. □

Dually, we can say the following theorems without proof;

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be closed under direct sum. If every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-preenvelope, then every right bounded complex has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-preenvelope.*

Using the modification to complexes of Corollary 6.3.5 in [5] we can give the following result.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class with closed under direct sum and summands and $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ be closed under inverse limit. If every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-preenvelope, then every bounded complex has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-envelope.*

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be closed under direct sum and summands and extension closed and $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$ be closed under inverse and direct limit. If every module has an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope, then every complex has a $C(\mathcal{X}^*)$-envelope.*

References

[1] Avramov, L., Foxby, H.B.*Homological dimensions of unbounded complexes* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra 71 :129-155, 1991

[2] Iacob, Alina *DG-injective covers, # -injective covers*, Communications in Algebra, accepted.

[3] Iacob, Alina *Gorenstein Flat Dimension of Complexes*, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University, 49(4):817-842, 2009.

[4] Rotman, Joseph J. *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Springer, New York (2009).

[5] Enochs, E.E., Jenda, O.M.G. *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Ex. Math. Volume 30, Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin (2000).

Department of Mathematics, Abant İzzet Baysal University
Gölköy Kampüsü Bolu, Turkey
E-mail address: `ozen_t@ibu.edu.tr`
E-mail address: `emineyyildirim@gmail.com`